

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		/t <u>/.tiefg</u> .		
FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/24/2000	Roe Peterson	1009-04-01	2563	
7590 07/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	WED	KYLE, CH	KYLE, CHARLES R	
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202	WER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3624		
	10/24/2000 7590 07/14/2004 DN & REUTLINGER N & WILLIAMSON TO	10/24/2000 Roe Peterson 7590 07/14/2004 ON & REUTLINGER N & WILLIAMSON TOWER	10/24/2000 Roe Peterson 1009-04-01 7590 07/14/2004 EXAM ON & REUTLINGER N & WILLIAMSON TOWER E, KY 40202 ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
	09/695,551	PETERSON, ROE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles R Kyle	3624 NM			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address >			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of t	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	<u>ctober 2000</u> .				
•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ad.			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: It ends with a semicolon.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. They recite at step iii) "including" which appears intended to be "inducing."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9-21, 23-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2002/0023038 Fritsch et al.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/695,551

Art Unit: 3624

As to Claim 1, Fritsch discloses the invention as claimed, including in a method of conducting an online auction (Para. 3), the steps of:

- a) providing an auction web site system (Para. 20) including a merchandise database (Para. 26, lines 10-12) of information pertaining to auction lots to be sold (Para.14);
- b) with respect to an auction lot, using said web site system to conduct an auction in the following steps:
 - i) assigning an opening auction price
 (Para. 16, line 1) and at least one
 predetermined bid increment (Para. 16,
 lines 3-6) to said auction;
 - ii) displaying to a bidder the current auction price of said lot (Fig. 5, ele. 370) as well as said predetermined bid increments (Fig. 5, eles. 390 and 370; predetermined bid

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/695,551

Art Unit: 3624

increment = Make this bid (\$45.00) Current Bid (\$44.00) = \$1.00)

- iii) inducing bidders to place bids by selecting from said predetermined bid increments, the amount of a bid being the current auction price plus the selected predetermined bid increment (Fig. 5, ele. 390), details of said bids being transmitted to and recorded in said web site system (Paras. 20-29);
- iv) upon occurrence of a revision event (Par. 16, lines 16-22), revising said predetermined bid increments and refreshing the display of said revised predetermined bid increments to bidders (Figs. 5 and 6, change in increment from \$1.00 to \$0.25);
- v) upon occurrence of an auction-closing event, accepting no further bids and determining

Art Unit: 3624

the winning bidder based on bids having been stored in said Web site system (Fig. 12; Para. 38).

With respect to Claim 2, Fritsch discloses that a highest bid wins at Background of the Invention.

With respect to Claim 3, Fritsch discloses that a revision event comprises a manual trigger initiated by a site operator at Para. 16, lines 17-18.

As to Claim 4, Fritsch discloses a revision event as a preprogrammed condition at Para. 16.

With respect to Claim 7, *Fritsch* discloses auction closing based on a preprogrammed condition (equality of bid and offer) at Fig. 12 and Para.16, lines 12-14.

Concerning Claim 9, Fritsch discloses manual revision of increments at Para. 16, lines 17-18.

As to Claim 10, *Fritsch* discloses recalculation of bid increments at Para. 16, lines 19-20.

Concerning Claim 11, Fritsch discloses lowering bid increments at Para.

16.

With respect to Claim 12, Fritsch discloses a single bid increment at Fig.

5.

Art Unit: 3624

With respect to Claim 13, Fritsch discloses plural bid increments at Para.

16.

As to Claim 14, Fritsch discloses a bidder browser for auction information at Para. 21.

Concerning Claim 15, it is a system form of Claim 1 and is rejected in a like manner. *Fritsch* further discloses a web based auction system connected to bidders at Fig. 2, a bid management system containing bid details at Paras. 12 and 26 and an increment setting system at Paras. 15-16.

As to Claim 16, Fritsch discloses a bidder communicating with the web system from a bidder computer at Fig. 2 and Paras. 26 and 27.

Concerning Claim 17, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 14.

Concerning Claim 18, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 9.

Concerning Claim 19, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 10.

With respect to Claim 20, see the discussions of Claims 15 and 6.

Concerning Claim 21, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 7.

Concerning Claim 23, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 3.

Concerning Claim 24, see the discussion of Claims 15 and 4.

As to Claim 26, Fritsch discloses a medium for storing a computer program operative to perform the method at Paras. 19-30.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6, 8, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0023038 Fritsch et al in view of US 6,230,147 Alaia et al.

As to Claim 5, Fritsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed. See the discussion of Claim 4. Fritsch does not specifically disclose consideration of time in adjusting bid increments. Alaia discloses consideration of time in a bidding process. See Alaia at Col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fritsch with consideration of timing as disclosed by Alaia to modify bid increments because this would allow auctioneers to improve bid prices by using gradually smaller, more palatable price increases. See particularly Alaia at Col. 6, lines 62-67.

As to Claim 6, Fritsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed. See the discussion of Claim 1. Fritsch does not specifically disclose manually closing an auction. Alaia discloses this limitation at Col. 9, lines 25-41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fritsch with manual auction as disclosed by Alaia because this would allow auctioneers more control over the auction process.

Art Unit: 3624

As to Claim 8, Fritsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed. See the discussion of Claim 7. Fritsch does not disclose the consideration of bid timing in closing an auction. Alaia discloses this limitation at Col. 5, line 47 to col. 8, line 55, particularly Col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fritsch with the closing based on bid timing of Alaia because this would achieve a better auction price by varying closing times.

With respect to Claim 22, see the discussion of claims 21 and 8.

With respect to Claim 25, see the discussion of claims 24 and 5.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R Kyle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

crk July 6, 2004 Examiner Charles Kyle

Charles JL